1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES
2	PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
2	CORAL GABLES CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS
3	405 BILTMORE WAY, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA WEDNESDAY, JUNE 9, 2010, 6:05 P.M.
4	, 001 5, _001., 0 00 _ 11.11
5	Board Members Present:
6	Tom Korge, Chairman Eibi Aizenstat, Vice-Chairman
7	Robert Behar Jack Coe
8	Jeffrey Flanagan Javier Salman
9	ouvier barman
10	City Staff:
11	Patrick G. Salerno, City Manager Eric Riel, Jr., Planning Director
12	Elizabeth M. Hernandez, City Attorney Maria Alberro Menendez, Assistant City Manager
13	Kevin Kinney, Parking Director Fred Couceyro, Parks & Recreation Director
14	Scot Bolyard, Planner Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant
15	Catherine Cathers, Arts & Culture Specialist
16	
17	Public Speakers:
18	Rick Holmes Joel Lehman
19	Jean Field
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THEREUPON: 2 The following proceedings were had: CHAIRMAN KORGE: All right, so we've got a 3 4 quorum. Let's get rolling. Will you call the 5 roll, please? MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat? 6 7 MR. AIZENSTAT: Here. MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar? 8 9 Jack Coe? 10 MR. COE: Here. 11 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan? 12 MR. FLANAGAN: Here. MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon? 13 14 Javier Salman? 15 Tom Korge? 16 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Here. 17 The first item on the agenda is approval of 18 the minutes of the meeting of May 12th, 2010. Do I have a motion of approval? 19 20 MR. FLANAGAN: So moved. 21 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Second? 22 MR. COE: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Any discussion? 24 Hearing no discussion, no objections --25 MR. COE: Call the question.

- 1 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Call the roll, please.
- 2 MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?
- 3 MR. COE: Yes.
- 4 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?
- 5 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.
- 6 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
- 7 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
- 8 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?
- 9 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.
- 10 The next item on the agenda is -- I'd
- 11 better look at the agenda -- Application Number
- 12 00-09-092-P, Planned Area Development Site Plan
- 13 Review.
- 14 MR. RIEL: Mr. Chairman, if I could, just
- for a matter of the record, I just want to note
- a change on the agenda, the deferral of the
- 17 Gulliver Academy application.
- 18 CHAIRMAN KORGE: My apologies. That was
- 19 deferred. I didn't read down far enough.
- Okay.
- 21 MR. COE: That's deferred to July 14.
- 22 CHAIRMAN KORGE: To July 14th.
- MR. RIEL: To July 14th, and there's a
- 24 letter I'd like to enter into the record from
- 25 the applicant.

1	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay.
2	MR. COE: Some kind of conflict with
3	co-counsel, that was the reason for this?
4	MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.
5	MR. COE: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN KORGE: You've given us a copy of
7	the letter from Laura Russo, dated June 3rd?
8	Is that the letter?
9	MR. RIEL: Correct.
10	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay. Thank you.
11	The next item on the agenda is Application
12	Number 05-10-105-P, Change of Land Use.
13	MR. RIEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	This is a request for a change in land use
15	from Commercial Use, Mid-Rise Intensity, to
16	Commercial Use, High-Rise Intensity, for three
17	City-owned properties, that will allow for the
18	future development of the properties at their
19	highest and best use.
20	These properties are currently used as a
21	City parking lot and garage this references
22	Property Number 1, which is Parking Lot Number
23	6 and Scot, if you could just indicate it.
24	Property Number 2 is Garage Number 4, the 300
25	Block of Andalusia. Property 3 is Garage

- 1 Number 1, the 200 Block of Andalusia.
- 2 MR. COE: These are municipal garages? Are
- 3 these municipal garages, Mr. Riel?
- 4 MR. RIEL: These are all City-owned
- 5 properties.
- 6 MR. COE: Municipal garages are what we're
- 7 talking about?
- 8 MR. Riel: Yes. Yes.
- 9 This change will allow the City the
- 10 flexibility to develop the property as a future
- 11 mixed-use development that could allow any of
- the following uses: Retail, office, public
- 13 parking, multi-family residential, public open
- space, and/or other public uses.
- No change in zoning is being requested,
- 16 because all the properties have the proper
- 17 Commercial zoning designation. In terms of
- changing the land use, it again allows more
- 19 flexibility in terms of greater opportunities
- for development. It's designed as an infill
- 21 development. It promotes mixed-use, which is
- 22 consistent in the Central Business District.
- 23 It allows for quality construction that will
- 24 allow for integration of a variety of land uses
- and densities in one development.

1	(Thereupon, Mr. Salman arrived.)
2	MR. RIEL: And again, provides for infill
3	redevelopment of the properties, basically,
4	within a ten-minute walk, which is kind of the
5	norm, in terms of the flexibility of mixed
6	uses, and then, for sure, not least, increases
7	the choices available to public parking.
8	And Scot
9	We have If you look in your packet,
10	Attachment D, we have an illustration which
11	Scot has on the board there, as well. It
12	basically shows the location of each of the
13	properties, an aerial. The existing land use,
14	the proposed land use. And there's no change
15	in the Commercial designation, so obviously,
16	there's no exhibit indicating the same.
17	If you note, the properties predominantly
18	are surrounded by High-Rise as well as Mid-Rise
19	uses.
20	What Staff did is kind of give you an idea
21	in terms of what the parameters of the

What Staff did is kind of give you an idea in terms of what the parameters of the development would be. We did a preliminary zoning analysis, which is on Page 5 in your packet. It lists the -- you know, the property, the total site area. Those

1	properties are available for Mediterranean
2	bonuses under either of the categories, and
3	it's within the Traffic Concurrency Exception
4	Area District.

The properties do meet the minimum 200-foot frontage, 20,000 square foot minimum, to go to a High-Rise, and again, they're allowed Mediterranean bonuses.

(Thereupon, Mr. Behar arrived.)

MR. RIEL: The proposal for change in land use would allow up to 190 feet. That's with Mediterranean bonuses. Currently, the properties are allowed up to 100 feet.

CHAIRMAN KORGE: Excuse me for interrupting. I just want to note for the record that both Javier Salman and Robert Behar have arrived. I'm sorry.

MR. RIEL: And I just want to clarify, the intent will allow the flexibility of the City to go to that height. You're not looking at a site plan this evening. It's just a change in land use. It allows the ability, when the City determines to develop the parcels, to be able to go up that height, and it allows additional flexibility, again, in terms of the mixing of

- 1 uses.
- 2 MR. COE: Could I ask a question, Mr. Riel?
- 3 I'm a little bit confused by this.
- 4 Now, you have, on Parcels -- well, Items 2
- 5 and 3, two municipal garages. The underlying
- 6 land is owned by the City?
- 7 MR. RIEL: Yes.
- 8 MR. COE: And the structure is owned by the
- 9 City?
- MR. RIEL: Yes.
- MR. COE: Is it the City's intent, down the
- 12 road, to sell off the land?
- 13 MR. RIEL: There's no -- I mean, there's no
- 14 development scenario at this time. There's no
- 15 proposal.
- 16 MR. COE: If this is rezoned to
- 17 High-Density, High-Rise, is the City going to
- 18 build a structure and then lease it? Or are
- they going to lease the land and have somebody
- 20 build a structure with a 99-year lease? What
- 21 are we talking about?
- MR. RIEL: That's something that's going to
- 23 be determined later. This is just -- The
- request before you is a change in land use.
- The City is the property owner and, obviously,

1	will be the regulator, as well. Any
2	development of that parcel will come through
3	this Board and will go to the City Commission.
4	CHAIRMAN KORGE: I guess the question
5	MR. COE: Hold on. Hold on a second. How
6	many parking spaces in each of the garages
7	currently exist?
8	MR. RIEL: If you look on Page Property
9	Number 1, which is 2100 Salzedo, has 104
10	existing spaces. The 300 Block of Andalusia
11	has 354, and the 200 Block has 282.
12	MR. COE: Now, when we go from Mid-Rise to
13	High-Rise, and there's a building 11, 12, 13,
14	14 stories, whatever is under the Mediterranean
15	bonus, are those existing parking spaces
16	preserved and the developer then will have to
17	have additional parking spaces to meet City
18	parking requirements? Or is it all lost, the
19	municipal parking spaces?
20	MR. RIEL: Again, the future use of the
21	property is subject to the City. I mean, it
22	could be a I mean, it could be all the uses
23	I listed. It could be residential, it could be
24	office, it could be primarily a public parking
25	garage, it could be a private-public

1	partnership. That has not been determined at
2	this time. This just allows the future
3	flexibility.
4	MR. COE: Well, wouldn't it make more
5	sense, then, for the City to come up with thi

sense, then, for the City to come up with this rezoning when it has more definite ideas of how the property is going to be developed, rather than speculating now and allow some development that may never take place?

My great concern is that we're going to use the existing parking spaces, that, you know, people are told, "Well, don't worry about parking on Miracle Mile. It's not the place to park. We're going to go to parallel parking eventually. That's going to lose parking spaces. Go use the garages."

Is there going to come a time when the garages aren't going to exist for the public at large? That's my concern.

MR. RIEL: And I can't answer that. Again, this is not a development proposal. It's just a change in land use.

CHAIRMAN KORGE: Right. Well, I guess the question he's really getting at is, why do we need a change in land use at this time? Is

- 1 there some other development occurring, maybe, 2 in the Legislature that's --3 MR. RIEL: It's just to allow the flexibility when the Commission does decide how 4 5 the design should proceed forward. CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yeah, but that doesn't 6 7 answer the question. You could, when the Commission decides to do something with the 8 property, at that time, come with a change in 9 land use, as well as the development proposal. 10 MR. RIEL: That's correct. 11 12 CHAIRMAN KORGE: But you're not doing that. 13 MR. RIEL: Correct. 14 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Instead, you're coming 15 with a change in land use now, and the simple 16 question is, why now? Why not wait? I think 17 that's what Jack's asking. Why not wait until 18 you're ready to do something with the property, 19 build on the property or sell it or whatever
- the City plans to do? What's the reason?

  MR. RIEL: Again, just to allow

  flexibility, to go up on that hundred-foot

  window.
- MS. HERNANDEZ: And --
- 25 MR. RIEL: Right now, there's limitations

1	in terms of the maximum height on the property.
2	You can only go to a hundred feet. So what
3	we'd like to do is
4	CHAIRMAN KORGE: We got that. But that
5	doesn't answer the question.
6	MS. HERNANDEZ: Right, but
7	CHAIRMAN KORGE: It's a simple question.
8	MS. HERNANDEZ: Right, and just so that you
9	will remember, several years ago, we did go out
LO	to RFP. Every single one of the First of
L1	all, many applicants did not apply, because
L2	they could not rebuild the parking garages for
L3	the City and at the same time produce a retail
L4	or a market that would give them the necessary
L5	revenue in order to make up for the investment.
L6	The City is just you know, we're not
L7	aware of any plans right now to go out to RFPs.
L8	What we're just doing is moving forward the
L9	land use, because we know that it was not a
20	successful venture in the past. You will
21	recall that all three projects basically failed
22	and the developers went away.
23	So that's the only answers we have. We

can't give you -- You keep asking questions.

All we can give you is the history, what's

24

- 1 happened, and, you know, what's here before
- 2 you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Would The City's ability
- 4 to develop this property in the future be
- 5 impaired if the change in land use were
- 6 proposed at the same time that the City has
- decided, however tentatively, what it wants to
- 8 do with the property?
- 9 MR. BEHAR: Well, the only thing I could
- think of is, if in fact you're going to go out
- at a future date for an RFP and you still have
- to get the approval --
- MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.
- MR. BEHAR: -- of the rezoning --
- MS. HERNANDEZ: It scares away people.
- MR. BEHAR: It really does.
- 17 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah.
- MR. BEHAR: You know, I think you're going
- 19 to have a better turnout and be more
- 20 successful --
- 21 MS. HERNANDEZ: More competitive.
- MR. BEHAR: -- if everything is in place
- already.
- MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.
- 25 MR. AIZENSTAT: And we have had, in the

past, people come before us asking for a change
of land use without having specific plans of
what they're doing with that property; have we
not?

MR. COE: Well, except this is City-owned property. I don't care how we're doing it for privately owned property. My great concern is, is this really a public -- serving a public purpose --

MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COE: -- to do this at this time? Τо me, you know, it says, well, sometime down the road, five years, 10 years, 50 years, we may want to do something with this. No one has answered the question, "Why now?" And I am seriously worried that down the road, we're going to lose all the public parking spaces that we have, because I know what's going to happen. When they build a high-rise, you're going to have parking for -- whether it's condos, apartments, offices or whatever, you're going to have parking to meet the needs of the tenant, not the public at large, and so these hundreds of parking spaces which are now public parking spaces in municipal garages are going

```
1
          to be gone, and that is my great concern.
 2
          going to -- You know, if it's five, 10, 15
 3
          years from now, the history of all this is
 4
          lost. I see no reason at this time to do what
 5
          we're doing.
                          Correct me if I'm wrong, but I
 6
              MR. BEHAR:
7
          think that when this RFP went out, you know,
8
          whatever, four or five years ago, whenever that
9
          went out, part of the requirement was that the
10
          developer had to incorporate the same number of
11
          spaces that were on the site in the new
12
          development?
13
              MS. HERNANDEZ:
                               Right.
14
              MR. SALMAN: That's correct.
15
              MR. RIEL: That's correct.
                        Is that -- But I don't see that
16
              MR. COE:
17
          here, and you didn't answer it that way when I
18
          asked you.
19
              MR. RIEL: And again, there's not a
          development proposal. Let me just make a
20
21
          couple comments. By increasing the ability to
22
          build above a hundred foot, it allows the
```

25 MR. RIEL: -- mix of uses, different types

MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.

flexibility to provide more public parking --

23

1	of uses. There's a severe limitation in terms
2	of the hundred foot, given the size of the
3	parcels and based upon the experience that we
4	had with the RFPs, you know, 10 years ago.
5	Also, as you know, Hometown Democracy is or
6	the agenda for the voters in November.
7	CHAIRMAN KORGE: That's the issue.
8	MR. COE: This November, yes.
9	CHAIRMAN KORGE: That's why you're doing it
10	early.
11	MR. RIEL: And the Hometown Democracy
12	issue, obviously, any change in land use will
13	be required to go to the voters. So this will
14	allow that flexibility. There's no proposal as
15	of this date to develop the parcels or remove
16	the parking or anything
17	CHAIRMAN KORGE: We know that. We
18	understand that. So it's really the Hometown
19	Democracy Act, that you don't want to have to
20	be faced with a referendum, if it passes in the
21	future. If we can legally change it now, you'd
22	rather do it now.
23	MR. RIEL: Right.

24 CHAIRMAN KORGE: That's what I was asking 25 you before, what's the reason. That's the real

- 1 reason.
- 2 MR. RIEL: And also -- I mean, again, it's
- 3 to allow the development, the ability to -- the
- flexibility, but yes, the Hometown Democracy
- 5 issue is one of those.
- 6 CHAIRMAN KORGE: So just a couple of
- 7 questions about the change itself, assuming we
- 8 would agree to the change. I'm looking at the
- 9 outlines of Properties 1, 2 and 3, and just
- 10 based on the color differential, I see that
- like Property Number 1, it looks as if the
- 12 whole rest of that block should all be the
- 13 same. You've got a gap in there for -- I guess
- 14 some Mid-Rise would still be in part of the
- 15 block. Do you see what I'm saying?
- MS. HERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.
- 17 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Why -- I mean, is that not
- a change because you need the owner to apply
- 19 for that?
- 20 MR. RIEL: Yes. It's held by private --
- It's privately owned. It's not under the
- 22 City's ownership.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay. That answers the
- 24 question for all of this.
- 25 MR. AIZENSTAT: That answers the question,

```
1
          what?
 2
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: For all three of these,
          because you see all three of these -- like
 3
          Andalusia, on the second one, it's all but two
 4
 5
          lots. One or two lots at the end would be --
              MR. AIZENSTAT: Right, no, I see that, but
 6
7
          by going the extra height, you're actually
8
          allowing for the additional -- Wouldn't you be
          allowing for the additional parking to be in
9
10
         place?
11
              MS. HERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.
12
              MR. AIZENSTAT: To where at the height that
13
          it is now, you can't have it.
14
              MR. RIEL: Mr. Chair, if I could, I believe
15
          the City Manager would like to make a couple of
16
          comments.
17
              CHAIRMAN KORGE:
                                Sure.
18
              MR. SALERNO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
                    There was a concern mentioned by one
19
          Members.
20
          Board member earlier that by doing this,
21
          somehow there's a concern about, well, we don't
22
          know what's going to be developed there or
23
          whatever. This is a land use plan change, and
24
          theoretically, land use plan changes are
```

supposed to be considered absent site plans,

absent elevations, absent design issues. So this is just providing the City flexibility.

Cities across the State of Florida today are going through this process. Private developers are going through this process across the State of Florida today. The City is doing this to protect a valuable resource and asset to this community, because if that amendment passes, there is going to be an extended period likely of uncertainty, court challenges, interpretations, et cetera, that are going to follow this, perhaps, for years, before it becomes established law on this matter.

We have a need today for additional parking. If we did nothing, the City could, today, decide to tear down that parking garage and put a residential -- and put some other type of development. So this action here doesn't preserve a single thing, as far as parking, because the City has that right today. It could tear down one garage. It could tear down both garages. It could tear down one and build one twice as big on the other site.

So I just don't want anybody to be

```
1
          concerned that this, somehow, is an action that
 2
          somehow gets interpreted as reducing parking.
          It is nothing but the contrary, frankly,
 3
          because it will give us greater ability and
 4
 5
          flexibility to add more parking. And the
          question was -- Let's say there was additional
 6
7
          office development associated with this. Well,
8
          that's going to have to be met on site, in
9
          addition to whatever our parking needs are.
          So, if we have public parking needs of 350,
10
11
          okay -- this is theoretical, because we don't
12
          have plans -- but if you had 350 today and the
          parking director says, "You know, I really
13
14
          would like to have 500 spaces in that area,"
15
          what that's going to mean is, any other
          potential review, is that we would have 500,
16
17
          and there might be additional office
18
          development, and they'll meet their own needs
19
          on top of that. So it doesn't reduce anything.
          It frankly gives further flexibility.
20
21
              I think, as the City Attorney mentioned
22
          before, the City went through a process, an RFP
          process. It wasn't successful --
23
24
              MS. HERNANDEZ: At all.
```

MR. SALERNO: -- because the economics --

- 1 MR. BEHAR: Didn't make sense.
- 2 MR. SALERNO: -- were such that it didn't
- 3 make sense. We're trying to solve problems
- 4 that will facilitate adding more parking in the
- downtown. That is what is behind this. We're
- 6 not looking at this as an opportunity to sell
- 7 land or whatever. Parking is important. This
- 8 is to facilitate that. This is to not put the
- 9 City in limbo for several years, potentially,
- 10 after the fact, and not be able to look at
- 11 those opportunities.
- 12 These are assets of the City that need to
- be protected, and it would be imprudent on our
- 14 part to have not brought this item forward.
- That's why cities, as I said, all across the
- 16 state, are doing this now, because they don't
- 17 want to be in limbo.
- MR. BEHAR: Not only that. I mean, this
- 19 gives the flexibility where you're going to be
- 20 able to get a private-public partnership --
- MR. SALERNO: Absolutely.
- MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.
- 23 MR. BEHAR: -- which is the only way that
- 24 you're going to see any major development take
- 25 place.

L	MR. SALERNO: You all know that we are not
2	flush with cash today. Most cities or counties
3	are not flush with cash today. We need to look
1	at these opportunities, to explore and let us
5	leverage our asset with the private sector, in
5	partnership, and develop something that's even
7	better than we have today.

Those garages, they're both old. One is exceedingly old and needs to be replaced, and this is just prudent -- a prudent planning and business practice to take this step today. If we don't proceed, you'll be foregoing -- in my opinion, we could be facing a situation where it has significant financial consequences of us not acting today.

Any other questions from members?

CHAIRMAN KORGE: Any other questions or discussion?

MR. BEHAR: I do like to ask Mr. Riel another question.

The only thing that bothers me a little bit is the fact that we're -- it's sort of a spot zoning, because you're only going for the land that is owned by the City. You're not taking, for example, on Property Number 1, the adjacent

```
lots, whatever they are, 5 through 11 -- you're
not taking the whole block in consideration,
because -- and that's what is the only thing
that's bothering me a bit here. Obviously,
that's owned by somebody else, but shouldn't
```

6 the --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right. They're not the 8 applicant.

9 MR. BEHAR: They have to apply.

10 MS. HERNANDEZ: Every applicant has to apply for its own --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Let them apply.

MR. FLANAGAN: But from a planning consistency standpoint, I would have -- When I first looked at these -- and you look at the color on the map and you see that you are kind of horseshoeing -- at least on the Salzedo one, you're horseshoeing around about four or five parcels, and then on the 300 block of Andalusia, you neglected the westernmost two lots.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MR. FLANAGAN: Even though they're under private ownership, the City could have talked to the property owner and filed an application

on their behalf, to achieve the planning
consistency of those blocks. As it sits today,
on the Salzedo one, I mean, there's a very
definitive line between the east half of those
blocks that abut Ponce and the west half of
those blocks that abut Salzedo.

So everything on the north side of Alhambra was High Density, but it looks like there's a very definitive reason for keeping the east half different from the west half. I'm not -- The 300 block of Andalusia, I think that makes sense, but I think the entire block should be brought in, including those two lots on the west, because it completes the north-south, and then I'll tell you my thought, you know, when you look at the 200 block of Andalusia, I think it's inappropriate, because it's like a sore thumb sticking down.

MR. SALERNO: Let me add -- if I could just add something to that. How we arrived at this was a request by me to the Planning Staff to look at all City-owned properties in the Downtown. I don't know the exact number. It was in excess of 20 --

25 MR. RIEL: 39.

MR. SALERNO: 39. Eric remembers. So I asked for a comprehensive review of City-owned properties. Out of 39, Eric came forward and said there are three that he would support and recommend, that made sense. And we did have a discussion about other private properties that should be considered, and the City has had a practice, and Eric had told me that he does not recall the City ever taking administrative actions, you know, to change the land use on somebody's property that they didn't request.

Now, certainly, I mean, we have tens of thousands of parcels in this City, and every person, you know, they can -- they need to represent their own interests and so forth, and it doesn't mean that they can't come back later, if it made sense, and they have that time frame.

If they so choose to not protect their interest, perhaps, or it's -- you know, that's up to them. We can't look after the tens of thousands of individual parcel owners and make this their land use decisions, and we haven't.

MR. BEHAR: We're not asking you to do that --

- 1 MR. SALERNO: Right.
- 2 MR. BEHAR: -- but I think it's
- inappropriate, you know, with all due respect,
- 4 to be able to -- Were these adjacent property
- 5 owners notified that we're going to go through
- 6 this process?
- 7 MR. SALMAN: Yes.
- 8 MR. RIEL: They received notice of the land
- 9 use change.
- 10 MR. SALERNO: They received notice.
- 11 Everybody received notice, yes.
- 12 MR. SALMAN: I got notified.
- 13 MR. SALERNO: Everybody received notice
- that was in the appropriate distance, and they
- 15 would have been notified, but we --
- 16 MR. COE: If they don't care, they don't
- 17 care.
- 18 MR. SALERNO: But we -- but we needed to
- 19 take care of and make sure -- so out of 39
- 20 properties, Staff came forward and said there's
- 21 three that they would recommend to take action
- on. So we're talking about, you know, a very
- 23 small percentage of those that, from a variety
- of criteria, they looked at, you know, and came
- up with these three as appropriate.

```
And like I said, there are significant
1
 2
          financial consequences of inaction. This is
          not a decision that no action doesn't have a
 3
 4
          consequence. This has a consequence,
 5
          financially, to the City. No action is just --
          it's not a --
 6
7
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: It's an action, too.
8
              MR. SALERNO: It's an action in a way that
          has financial consequences, and that's what --
9
10
              MR. BEHAR: Let me be clear, I am in
11
          support, okay?
12
              MR. SALERNO: Yeah, I know, you want to
          make it perhaps even further, and I agree with
13
14
         you.
15
              MR. BEHAR: I agree, you know, with
16
          Mr. Flanagan. I think that from a planning
17
          standpoint --
18
              MR. SALERNO: Absolutely.
              MR. BEHAR: -- it should be consistent.
19
20
              MR. SALERNO: And they will have that
21
          opportunity in the future, and you will have
22
          that to consider, whether or not other folks,
23
         because -- so you'll have that opportunity in
24
          the future, if that -- if that goes forward,
25
         but the City hasn't had a practice of
```

- 1 administratively rezoning -- rechanging the 2 land use on properties --MR. SALMAN: That it doesn't own. 3 4 MR. SALERNO: -- that it doesn't own. 5 That's been the City's practice. CHAIRMAN KORGE: I agree with Robert, but 6 7 maybe it would be worthwhile, personally, to 8 reach out to the landowners, if you can identify them. 9 MR. SALERNO: We could do that. 10 11 CHAIRMAN KORGE: And just talk to them 12 about it, tell them how we feel about it. It seems to me highly likely that they would 13 14 want -- if it's not going to cost them a lot of 15 money, they would want to make an application, 16 a timely application, too, because it increases 17 the usage -- it will increase the value in the long term for the property owner, and it fits 18 with better planning, which is the reason we're 19 involved with it. 20 21 MR. SALERNO: Mr. Chairman, we will do 22 that. We'll follow up, Mr. Chairman. I think it's a great idea. Mr. Behar, same thing. We 23
- MR. FLANAGAN: Can I ask, what's the future

will do that, absolutely.

```
land use designation of the parking lot -- I
1
          guess it would be the zero block of Andalusia,
 2
 3
          over by the Ross --
 4
              MR. SALMAN: That's part of The Palace.
5
              MR. RIEL: High-rise.
6
              MR. FLANAGAN: Part of The Palace?
7
              MR. RIEL: High-rise.
8
              MR. FLANAGAN: That's High-Rise?
9
              MR. RIEL: Yes.
              MR. SALMAN: I remember, I was here when
10
          they passed it.
11
12
              MR. SALERNO: Huh?
13
              MR. SALMAN: I was here when they passed
14
          it.
15
              MR. RIEL: It was changed to High-Rise to
16
          allow the additional flexibility to go up
         higher for the mixed use.
17
18
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Are there any more
          questions or discussion?
19
20
              MR. FLANAGAN: Does the City not own -- I
21
          guess for the 200 block of Andalusia, the City
22
          doesn't own those four blocks to the west of
          the parking garage, which I thought was the
23
```

MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry?

back --

```
1
              MR. FLANAGAN: -- which I thought was the
          back side of the theater?
 2
              MR. RIEL: The theater, I know they own --
 3
 4
              MR. FLANAGAN: These four lots? It looks
5
          like Lots Number 44, 5, 6 and 47?
6
              MR. RIEL: Yes. It's the Miracle Theater.
              MR. SALMAN: That's the Miracle Theater.
7
          That's the back end of the theater.
8
9
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Is there a motion,
10
          perhaps?
              MR. SALMAN: I'd like to make a motion,
11
12
         Mr. Chairman, to approve Staff's
13
         recommendation.
14
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: We have a motion to
15
          approve the Staff recommendation for a land use
          change. Is there a second?
16
              MR. BEHAR: Second.
17
              MR. AIZENSTAT: Should we have -- Is there
18
19
          any public input or --
20
              MR. COE: Are you skipping the public?
21
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Oh, I apologize. You're
22
          right. I'm sorry. We'll open it for public
          discussion.
23
              MR. RIEL: And, Mr. Chair, I also have one
24
```

thing I need to enter in the record, as well,

1	just, there's a requirement by the Department
2	of Community Affairs There's a courtesy
3	informational sheet that Scot has placed up
4	here. Anybody who would like to receive the
5	notice of intent that the DCA publishes, they
б	need to sign that sheet, and the State will
7	notify them of their actions.

CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay. Any discussion from the public? Anybody from the public who wishes to make some input on this, please come forward.

Sure, come on up. State your name and address for the record, please.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you. It's great to see you all. I'm honored to be here. My name is Rick Holmes. I own 256 Miracle Mile, which is just in front of one of these garages, and forgive me -- I'm grateful to you, Mr. Coe, and to you, Chairman -- Korge, I guess, for your skepticism, because when I got this, my heart started pounding out of my chest. This kind of issue is something that could actually cause me a heart attack. I'll tell you why. I want to preserve Low-Rise Miracle Mile, I want to preserve parking, and I want to get a

1	department store in order to do it, in the very
2	two garages, two of the three that you're
3	considering

It's been a 12-year journey for me. I probably am as far behind now as I was when I started 12 years ago. I think that this is almost the jugular vein, if you will, the life blood of the City, is parking, its future.

What happens in 300 and 200 Andalusia, I believe, will determine a whole lot about the future of Coral Gables, including whether

Miracle Mile can make it and can stay Low-Rise, our main street of Coral Gables, Low-Rise in the future.

It not only depends on parking. It depends, in my opinion, on whether we can incorporate a department store in any redevelopment of these two parcels.

So I'll flatter myself. The City Manager asked me if I was going to speak in favor of this or not. He knows me well enough to know that my issue is the department store, and then I told him yes, I will, and I'll tell you why I would be in favor of it.

Number one, I thank both of you for

insisting on an explanation, why now, and we're fortunate we have a City Manager and a Planning Director who are honest and forthcoming, and they've given what seems to be a pretty good explanation, "We want to get ahead of the curve on the land use constitutional amendment."

I don't want to go on forever here, you've got a limited time, but I'll just say to you, in the 12-year journey that I've had, trying to bring a department store to these sites, one of the frustrations that I've had is that we don't have a higher limit on what's allowed on these sites.

So, if I were sitting in your position -and it really is an honor for me to get to see
you all, finally. I can see that our community
is well served, with some of the high-powered
people in the entire county sitting right here
in front of me today. Thank you. I would vote
yes, but as I said to the City Manager a few
minutes ago, my heart is still beating out of
my chest, because I don't know what's the plan
behind this. The same question that you all
started out with, is there some agenda that
we're not hearing about? My agenda is, let's

1 get a department store in there. Thank you. 2 MR. BEHAR: Mr. Holmes, I've got a question 3 4 for you. You say you own a shop that is on 5 Miracle Mile, in front. What type of store is that? 6 7 MR. HOLMES: Thank you for asking. 8 going to brag to you for a second. My family, as far as I know, is the oldest property-owning 9 family on Miracle Mile. My grandfather lucked 10 11 into meeting the founder of the City, who 12 helped him choose which property to buy. We used to own 10 stores. When my mother died, a 13 14 bunch were sold off. We now own four. 15 To answer your question, Mr. Behar, I 16 have Massage Envy in my particular location. 17 The stores that I share with my sisters, we 18 have Agonagona, a women's clothing, we have 19 Gables Gyms, and we have a bridal store. 20 MR. BEHAR: And the reason I'm asking, you 21 said that you are in favor of a department 22 store? MR. HOLMES: Yeah. I think that what we 23 24 need to do -- Thanks for asking me. The

Village of Merrick Park came here.

certainly awoke all of us on Miracle Mile as to
what we could have done and should have done
and didn't do, and they brought in spectacular
department stores -- fortunately, high-end
department stores, Neiman Marcus, Nordstrom.
What a grand-slam home run.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I made it my mission to say, how can Miracle Mile try and keep up with what we could have done and should have done already? And I've talked to every major department store ever since, and I may even have to run for City Commission if I can't get a job with the City that I'm trying to get right now as assistant economic sustainability director. Why? Because Coral Gables -- You all as planners can really appreciate this. We, hopefully, will forever be a small suburb, residential community, where rich people or want-to-be rich people like you and me can raise our children and not be afraid of crime and this and that. So let's keep our main street, Miracle Mile, Low-Rise. That gives the suburban feel that we want our community to remain. But in order to do that, we've got protect our property owners on Miracle Mile from these pressures to build

- up, up, up, up. How do you do that?

  Anchor them with a department store.
- Ask yourselves right now -- forgive me, thank you for letting me talk -- do you shop on Miracle Mile? The answer might be, "I'd like to, I try to, but I don't really shop there. If I've got to get something quick, I go to Dadeland, I go to a department store, " this and that and the other. I want Miracle Mile to be a place where you can say, "Hey, I've got to get some socks." Run down to Miracle Mile, go to the Macy's, that I've talked to for there.

I want it to continue to have the anchor, the power, the attraction that you, sitting there right now, can say, "Well, you know, I don't really shop on Miracle Mile," but you'll say, "Oh, yes, I do, because there's a department store there." I want us to anchor Miracle Mile.

MR. BEHAR: That could be a double-edged sword, because you could kill the small shops that exist there, when you bring a department store. I mean, that's my concern, because there is a charm to Miracle Mile, and if you bring a -- if you brought a big department

	1	store in, there's a lot of places that may not
	2	be able to compete with the department stores.
	3	MR. HOLMES: Well, that's always a concern,
	4	but if you look at the history of retail, I
	5	mean, the places that took over from the main
	6	streets, you know, decades ago, are the malls.
	7	Ask your small retail at the malls. They're
	8	doing fine. They're paying two, three times
	9	the rent of places on Miracle Mile.
-	10	So, although I understand your concern, the
-	11	whole history of retail goes against your
-	12	concern, because the stores
-	13	CHAIRMAN KORGE: You know, I think we're
-	14	MR. HOLMES: the stores that make it,
-	15	make it malls that are anchored by department
-	16	stores.
-	17	CHAIRMAN KORGE: I think we're kind of
-	18	straying a little bit
- -	19	MR. HOLMES: Yeah, yeah.
2	20	CHAIRMAN KORGE: from our issue. Do you
2	21	have anything else to add about the change in
2	22	land use, at this time? You support it?
2	23	MR. HOLMES: Well, I would just do what I
2	24	think your instincts are. I would say, "I vote
2	25	yes, but with many caution flags. We're going

1 to keep watching this." 2 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay. Thank you. 3 Is anybody else from the public here to 4 speak on this particular application? 5 None? Well, we still have a motion on the floor. 6 7 It's been seconded. Is there any discussion 8 among the Board for that motion, further 9 discussion? MR. FLANAGAN: We're doing these as a 10 11 group, all together? 12 CHAIRMAN KORGE: I'm sorry? Do you want 13 them divided or would you prefer to just do it 14 as a group? 15 MR. SALMAN: I would do them as a group. 16 CHAIRMAN KORGE: I'd prefer it as a group, 17 but if there's an objection, I'll --18 MR. FLANAGAN: If I'm the only one, then that's fine. 19 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay, we'll do it as a 20 21 group. Do you have an objection to one 22 particular one you want to express? 23 MR. FLANAGAN: I'm just having a very hard time with the 200 block of Andalusia. 24

MR. AIZENSTAT: With which one?

```
1
              MR. BEHAR: Which one?
 2
              MR. FLANAGAN: Property Number 3.
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Number three?
 3
 4
              MR. FLANAGAN: Yeah.
5
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Why do you have a problem
         with that one?
6
7
              MR. FLANAGAN: It's mid-block, nothing
8
          else --
9
              MS. HERNANDEZ: Number 3?
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: That's the garage behind
10
          the Miracle Theater.
11
12
              MR. SALMAN: Miracle Theater, right.
13
              MR. FLANAGAN: And then the balance of the
14
         block on the west end, which is City property,
15
          is included.
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Well, I mean, I have a
16
17
          problem with all of these little holes here,
18
         but --
              MR. BEHAR: I have a problem with the
19
         holes.
20
21
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: But we're not going to fix
22
         that today.
23
              MR. FLANAGAN: But why can't we advertise
```

and come back and include the holes?

MR. AIZENSTAT: Because the people --

24

```
1
              MS. HERNANDEZ: You can't force the land
 2
          use on somebody that, you know --
 3
              MR. SALMAN: We can't force it.
 4
              MR. FLANAGAN: But we don't know that
5
          they --
 6
              MR. AIZENSTAT: But they were noticed.
         have a Board member that sits with us that is
7
8
          right near this property that was noticed.
9
              MR. RIEL: We sent out over 1,500 notices,
          a thousand feet of each of these properties.
10
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: I will just note that most
11
12
          people don't pay attention to them, and I think
          if you follow up -- excuse me, I think if you
13
14
          follow up individually, you'll get a different
15
          response from those people --
16
              MR. RIEL: As the Manager indicated, we
          will do that --
17
18
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yeah.
19
              MR. RIEL: -- but, you know, I disagree
          with you. I did get a lot of calls in the
20
21
          office and did get a lot of questions on this,
22
          on these applications.
23
              MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, and you get
24
          objections and --
25
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay. Not from the right
```

```
1 people, I guess.
```

- 2 MR. RIEL: Not from the neighboring
- 3 property owners.
- 4 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Any more discussion?
- 5 Hearing none, we'll call the roll on this
- 6 motion.
- 7 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
- 8 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
- 9 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
- 10 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
- MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?
- MR. COE: Yes.
- MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?
- MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.
- MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?
- MR. SALMAN: Yes.
- 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?
- 18 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.
- 19 MR. RIEL: Your recommendation goes to the
- 20 Commission at their July 13th meeting.
- 21 MR. AIZENSTAT: Eric, let me ask you a
- question. If any of the property owners that
- 23 are adjacent here want to come forward now and
- apply, what type of fee would they have with
- 25 the City in doing so?

- 1 MR. RIEL: A change in land use fee, I
- believe, is \$10,000, and then the advertising,
- 3 which is another \$5,000.
- 4 MR. SALMAN: Advertising.
- 5 MR. AIZENSTAT: The 10,000 and the 5,000?
- 6 MR. RIEL: Yeah. That's basically to cover
- 7 the cost for the advertising, the notice, staff
- 8 time and so forth.
- 9 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Is it legal to waive those
- 10 fees, in this circumstance?
- 11 MR. RIEL: We have not waived them for
- 12 private property owners. It sets a really bad
- 13 precedent.
- 14 MR. FLANAGAN: If you do that, where do you
- start and where do you stop?
- 16 MR. AIZENSTAT: If they would have come
- 17 now, at the same time, how would that have
- 18 worked?
- 19 MR. RIEL: Well, the issue is that the Code
- 20 only allows two amendment cycles a year, for
- 21 changes in land use. City-initiated
- applications aren't subject to that twice a
- 23 year. So they would have to wait until August.
- MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay.
- 25 MR. RIEL: In all likelihood, they could go

1	through the process in advance of Hometown
2	Democracy, however. It's dependent on the
3	application.
4	MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN KORGE: The next item on the
6	agenda is Application Number 05-10-104-P,
7	Change of Land Use and Change of Zoning for two
8	City-owned applications properties.
9	MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.
10	MR. BOLYARD: Good evening, Chairman,
11	Members of the Board. For the record, my name
12	is Scot Bolyard, with the Planning Department.
13	The item before you is for a change of land
14	use and a change of zoning for the property
15	located at 4650 Alhambra Circle. The proposed
16	change of land use is from Residential Use,
17	Single-Family, Low Density, to Parks and
18	Recreation, and the proposed change of zoning
19	is from Single-Family Residential District to
20	Special Use District.
21	The size of the property is just under half
22	an acre, at .48 acres. The surrounding uses
23	are one and two-story single-family residences.
24	The proposed change of land use and change of
25	zoning are required to provide for the

property's future intended use as a green space
or neighborhood park.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Parks & Recreation Department has agreed to conduct neighborhood meetings with surrounding property owners to present and provide for public input and comments for future development plans at the property.

Staff, based on analysis of the application, recommends approval of the proposed changes, based on the following findings of fact: That the future development of the property will be subject to Zoning Code regulations for S, Special Use District designated properties, which includes, but not limited to, restrictions on setbacks, height, landscaping, and floor area ratio. placement of structures on the property requires conditional use public hearing review before the Planning & Zoning Board and City Commission. The application is in compliance with and furthers the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, and this proposal would provide for the redevelopment of vacant land to allow for future development as a green space or neighborhood park.

1	And also, any party that would like to be
2	notified by the State of these actions will
3	need to sign the citizen courtesy information
4	list, which we have up front, and unless the
5	Board has any further questions, this concludes
6	my presentation.
7	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Any questions?
8	MR. SALMAN: Excuse me, I have a question.
9	Was this property not broken up into four
10	separate lots originally? It was a unity of
11	title for all four lots and it was broken into
12	a series by this Board at one time?
13	MR. RIEL: It was the subject of a previous
14	application, however, it was not acted upon.
15	MR. BOLYARD: It wasn't approved, correct?
16	MR. SALMAN: It was approved but not acted
17	on?
18	MR. RIEL: I don't believe it was approved.
19	MR. BOLYARD: No, no.
20	MR. RIEL: It went through the process
21	and
22	MR. BOLYARD: I believe it went through
23	twice.
24	MR. RIEL: It wasn't approved twice.
25	MR. BOLYARD: It went twice.

MR. BOLYARD: It went twice.

```
1
              MR. SALMAN: And the City has acquired this
 2
         property now? It's City-owned property?
 3
              MR. RIEL: In the process of acquiring it.
 4
              MS. HERNANDEZ: Right, through a grant.
 5
              MR. FLANAGAN: So the City doesn't own it?
6
              MR. SALMAN: Is the acquisition
7
          contingent --
8
              MS. HERNANDEZ: The City has agreed to
9
          purchase the property through a grant.
              MR. RIEL: And the Commission last month
10
11
          acted and basically gave approval for the
12
         purchase of the property.
13
              MR. FLANAGAN: Who's the applicant?
14
              MS. HERNANDEZ: Dr. Faustina Garcia is the
15
          applicant --
16
              MR. SALMAN: Faustina Garcia.
17
              MS. HERNANDEZ: -- and the City as the
18
         buyer.
              MR. SALMAN: Okay, that was question.
19
20
          Thank you.
21
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Any other questions or
22
          discussion? If not, I'll open it for comment
23
          from the public, if anybody --
24
              Yes, sir, come on up. Please state your
25
         name and address for the record.
```

1	MR. LEHMAN: My name is Joel Lehman, and I
2	live at 4590 Alhambra Circle, immediately north
3	of this property, and my questions are really
4	sort of basic. You know, what is a passive
5	park, and what is a green space?
6	I remember you from the Retirement Board.
7	Do you remember that?
8	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Uh-huh.
9	MR. LEHMAN: Many hours of of laboring.
LO	And for example, a question, what would be
11	hours of operation? Would there be any lights
L2	on this? I mean, living right immediately next
L3	to it, where we've lived for 47 years, I'm
L4	interested in knowing more about what is
L5	planned for that area.
L6	And speeding on Alhambra Circle, when the
L7	boys were really young, we actually asked the
L8	Coral Gables Police Department to set up a trap
L9	right near our home, and we had one individual
20	in a pickup truck from Bird Road that was going
21	70 miles an hour by the time they got to our
22	place. Now we have a recently activated
23	traffic circle there at Blue and Alhambra, and

But there are a lot of unknowns, and I'm

that has slowed down traffic quite an amount.

1	really interested in finding out more, what a
2	green space is, and the definition of a passive
3	park. Can anyone give me a definition of a
4	passive park?
5	MR. AIZENSTAT: Mr. Chair, if I may, our
6	Director of Parks & Recreation is here.
7	Maybe he can give a good explanation and
8	answer some of your questions.
9	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Thank you.
LO	MR. RIEL: And while Fred is coming up, let
L1	me just make a couple comments, to kind of
L2	address the issue.
L3	This request for a change of land use and
L4	zoning, the property right now has Single-
L5	Family zoning on it. This change in land use
L6	actually provides further protection. It
L7	provides a recreation open space use on the
L8	property, therefore severely limiting any
L9	future development of the property.
20	The parcel, as it stands right now, there's
21	no proposal to develop it. It's just to assign
22	the correct land use and zoning for the
23	intended use as a passive park. No changes are
24	proposed on the property, no elimination of

trees or anything of that, no changes at all.

1	MR. COUCEYRO: For the record, Fred
2	Couceyro, Parks & Recreation Director.
3	Just to answer a couple of questions,
4	currently, the property is a vacant lot. Our
5	plan is to beautify that vacant lot, you know,
6	improve a little bit on the landscaping and the
7	green space, but have it be an open area, for
8	just the beautification of the area. There
9	will be no There are no grand plans for any
LO	big activities in terms of You did ask a
11	question on opening and closing hours. We're
L2	not going to have a gate. We're not going to
L3	have a bunch of playground equipment in there.
L4	It's going to be a very simple open area,
L5	similar to a lot of the open areas, parks that
L6	are not as active. We're not talking about a
L7	Salvador Park here or Jaycee Park. It's going
L8	to be an open area that we're going to
L9	beautify, landscape, and make it look nice.
20	MR. LEHMAN: No benches?
21	MR. COUCEYRO: Well, we may have a bench or
22	two.
23	MR. LEHMAN: A bench?

MR. COUCEYRO: A bench or two. I mean, you know, we're not going to litter it with

1	benches. There could be a bench. You know, it
2	will be similar to a lot of open spaces that we
3	have that maybe have one bench, not a lot.
4	It's not going to be a formal, large park area
5	The space doesn't merit that.
6	MR. AIZENSTAT: If I may ask a question,
7	having served before on the Parks & Recreation
8	Board, by defining it as a passive park, does
9	that mean that you will not be planning
LO	activities on this open space?
L1	MR. COUCEYRO: Well, we will design it
L2	well, actually, it will be specified as a
L3	neighborhood park. The passive park
L4	designation was done away with, with the
L5	Comprehensive Plan. It's more of a it's a
L6	neighborhood park, which is just identified as
L7	a small park space in the neighborhood, where
L8	it serves people in that area. It's not a
L9	destination park. We have no intention to make
20	it a destination park. That's what the
21	definition would be.
22	MR. AIZENSTAT: Well, would playground
23	equipment be placed there, whether it's now or
24	in the future? How is that?

MR. COUCEYRO: It's not our intention.

```
1
          What we have done in the past is, we will have
 2
          meetings with the neighborhood. We will have
          several meetings, and we do it over several
 3
 4
          years, and wherever that takes us, to the park,
 5
          it will be with consensus, through the
          neighborhood.
6
7
              We've had instances, like in the past, and
8
          Rotary Park is an example, so -- but we would
9
          really go with what the neighborhood is
          intending and what fits there.
10
11
              MR. AIZENSTAT: And what about lighting,
12
          for nighttime? Would the park be open at
13
          night?
14
              MR. COUCEYRO: No, there are no plans to
15
          light it. No.
16
              MR. AIZENSTAT: So it's a daytime park?
              MR. COUCEYRO: Yeah. It would be dawn to
17
18
          dusk, like most of our parks that do not have
          light. There's only a few in the City that do
19
          have lighting.
20
```

21 MR. SALMAN: So you're talking about a park 22 similar to like a Maggiore Park or --

MR. COUCEYRO: Yes, that's -- it's a great --

25 MR. SALMAN: You have a series. There's

```
1
          one on Coral Way --
 2
              MR. COUCEYRO: Yes.
 3
              MR. SALMAN: -- that was --
 4
              MR. COUCEYRO: Right, Fewell.
 5
              MR. SALMAN: Yes, Fewell Park, that has a
          little walkway through it --
6
7
              MR. COUCEYRO: Right.
              MR. SALMAN: -- and one lousy bench and --
8
9
              MR. COUCEYRO: Right.
10
              MR. LEHMAN: Maggiore is --
              MR. SALMAN: But a really nice collection
11
12
          of trees, like a passive area, where you could
13
          come out and maybe throw a frisbee.
14
              MR. BEHAR: Right. It's a neighborhood
15
          park.
16
                           It's a neighborhood park.
              MR. SALMAN:
17
              MS. HERNANDEZ: You can go read a book --
18
              MR. COUCEYRO: Maggiore is a perfect
19
          example, because Maggiore is the same size, and
20
          we purchased it a few years ago and did the
21
          same thing. We're basically looking to do the
22
          same thing.
23
              MR. AIZENSTAT: Is that park -- There's one
24
         near Amalfi, in the back of U.S. 1 there, that
```

was just recently completed as a passive park,

- 1 if I'm not mistaken.
- 2 MR. COUCEYRO: Yeah. Well, this is the one
- 3 that's right across the street from the Chinese
- 4 Village?
- 5 MR. AIZENSTAT: Right.
- 6 MR. COUCEYRO: Yes.
- 7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.
- 8 MR. AIZENSTAT: And that turned out
- 9 beautiful.
- MR. COUCEYRO: Yes.
- MR. AIZENSTAT: I drive by there and I see
- some families there and so forth.
- 13 MR. COUCEYRO: It's very low key. We're
- 14 not looking to do parking --
- MR. LEHMAN: What's the size of the property?
- MR. SALMAN: There's no real site amenity
- improvements, other than maybe some sidewalks
- and a bench or two, and some nice landscaping
- and somebody to maintain it, so it doesn't look
- 20 like a vacant lot --
- MR. COUCEYRO: Correct.
- MR. SALMAN: -- which is basically what
- we've got now, that is quite the eyesore.
- 24 MR. COUCEYRO: That's our intention.
- What was that, sir, I'm sorry?

- 1 MR. LEHMAN: Maggiore, is that similar to
- what this would be?
- 3 MR. COUCEYRO: It's 21,000 square feet.
- 4 It's almost to the T --
- 5 MR. LEHMAN: Exactly.
- 6 MR. COUCEYRO: Yeah, the same measurement.
- 7 MR. LEHMAN: So, if I looked at that,
- 8 that's probably what --
- 9 MR. COUCEYRO: Right. The only difference
- 10 that that one has is, that one is an island,
- 11 but it's the same. It's the same size.
- MR. LEHMAN: Okay. Well, I know a lot more
- than I did know, so thank you.
- 14 MR. COUCEYRO: You're welcome.
- 15 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Somebody else from the
- 16 public wants to -- Please come up.
- 17 MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you for coming, sir.
- MR. LEHMAN: Okay.
- 19 MS. FIELD: I'm Jean Field. I live at 4706
- Alhambra Circle, and we also own 4700 and 4714,
- 21 so we own the whole block between Mendavia and
- Alegriano, so we are just to the south of the
- park and to our friends here.
- 24 Of course, I would be concerned, also, like
- you, with additional cars, this or that,

1	lights, but it was our understanding from
2	the original intent of the purchase was to have
3	it just a passive park and green space, and
4	when Dr. Garcia first approached us with this
5	idea, we thought it was absolutely a great idea
6	and applauded the Commissioners, and I e-mailed
7	them all and thought how wonderful to have a
8	City that's thinking of green space. And we
9	don't always speak as one voice, but in this
10	case, my husband and I just think it's a
11	wonderful idea and would be very, very grateful
12	to the City to have additional green space and
13	a quiet place to enjoy nature. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Thank you.
15	Anybody else from the public like to speak
16	at this time? Then we'll close the public
17	input.
18	Any discussion or a motion from the Board?
19	MR. BEHAR: Motion to approve.
20	MR. AIZENSTAT: Second.
21	MR. COE: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN KORGE: Moved and seconded. Any
23	more discussion on this?
24	MR. COE: Call the question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KORGE: Hearing no discussion,

```
we'll call the roll, please.
1
2
              MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
3
              MR. BEHAR: Yes.
4
              MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?
5
              MR. COE: Yes.
6
              MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?
7
              MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.
              MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?
8
9
              MR. SALMAN: Yes.
10
              MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
11
              MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
12
              MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?
13
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.
14
              I think that concludes our agenda.
15
         next meeting is --
16
              MR. RIEL: We have a special meeting on
17
          June 24th, 6:00 p.m.
18
              CHAIRMAN KORGE: June 24th. Okay.
19
              (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at
          7:00 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	SS.
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6	
7	I, JOAN L. BAILEY, Registered Diplomate
8	Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, and a Notary
9	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
10	certify that I was authorized to and did
11	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
12	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
13	stenographic notes.
14	
15	DATED this 14th day of June, 2010.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	JOAN L. BAILEY, RDR, FPR
21	DATIEV C CANGUEZ COUDE DEDODETNO INC
22	BAILEY & SANCHEZ COURT REPORTING, INC.
23	
24	
25	